

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/722,142	11/25/2000	Silverbrook Kia	NPP023US	3969	
24011 759	08/06/2004		EXAMI	NER	
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD			EVANS, AR	EVANS, ARTHUR G	
393 DARLING S BALMAIN, 2	81REE1 041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTRALIA			2622	· · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
_		09/722,142	KIA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Arthur G. Evans	2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day it will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· •				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-60, 63-79(first claim 79), 79(second claim 79)-80</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6) Claim(s) 1-60,63-79(first claim 79), 79(second claim 79)-80 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>63-79(first claim 79), 79(second claim 79)-80</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers	•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureatee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/575155</u> . ed in this National Stage			
Attachman	We)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atenu Application (1710-952)			
S Patent and Tr			ARTHUM OF EXAMINER			

Application/Control Number: 09/722,142

Art Unit: 2622

Claims 63-79(first claim 79), 79(second claim 79)-80 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 63-79(first claim 79), 79(second claim 79)-80 are not numbered consecutively or are duplicate. Claims 63-79(first claim 79) should be renumbered as 61-77. Claims 79(second claim 79)-80 should be renumbered as 78-79 Appropriate correction is required.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-60, 63-79(first claim 79), 79(second claim 79)-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-79 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6727996. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur G. Evans whose telephone number is 703-305-9653.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-3085397 for regular communications and 703-3085397 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/722,142

Art Unit: 2622

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703-305-390.

July 29, 2004

SENIOR PRIMARY EXAMINER